

The Petroleum Potential of Fiji

SUMMARY

Fiji forms part of the South-West Pacific island arc system which marks the boundary between the Indo-Australia and Pacific plates. The territorial waters cover almost 1.3 million km² and contain two shallow-water Tertiary sedimentary basins. Bligh Water Basin, covering some 9500 km², has sediment thicknesses in excess of 5km and has excellent potential for hydrocarbons. Bau Waters Basin is also prospective, having a shallow-water area of about 1600km², with sediment thicknesses up to 4km.

Fiji lies on the same regional play trend of Miocene reefs which produce oil in Irian Jaya, Indonesia and gas/condensate in offshore Papua New Guinea. Indeed Fiji's basins have many similarities with the oil and gas producing, arc-related basins of Southeast Asia.

Source rocks of Oligocene, Miocene and Pliocene age are exposed onshore in Fiji and have been encountered by drilling in the offshore basins. An oil seep in Bligh Water Basin and oil and gas shows in wells provide evidence that hydrocarbons have been generated in the basins. Modelling studies indicate peak oil generation to be at about 2.6km below sea floor.

Miocene and Pliocene reefal limestones from spectacular outcrops in Fiji and represent the best potential reservoirs. Reefs of the same age have been identified on seismic data from the offshore basins and represent attractive targets for exploration. Common forms are reefal mounds and prograded platforms.

Over twenty structural reefal traps have been identified on seismic lines in the Late Miocene and Pliocene sequences, mostly in Bligh Water Basin. Estimates of potential unrisks recoverable reserves are 270 million barrels of oil (mmbo) per structure. If structural-stratigraphic trapping occurs, recoverable reserves could increase to over 1 billion barrels of oil per structure. There is considerable scope for more reefal structures in the deeper Oligocene-Middle Miocene interval which cannot be resolved on the existing seismic data, and in areas where seismic coverage is sparse.

Limestone turbidite lobes have also been identified on seismic data. These constitute a secondary play and may contain estimated recoverable reserves of 100-200 mmbo per structure.

There are no exploration or production licences at present. Fiji has comprehensive petroleum legislation and the Government seeks to encourage exploration investment by oil companies. All reports and data are available in the Fiji Petroleum Data Package which may be ordered from SOPAC Petroleum Data Bank, Canberra.

HISTORY OF EXPLORATION

Fiji first gained attention as an area of petroleum potential in 1968 following the discovery of oil seeps in neighbouring Tonga. Subsequently, there have been two stages of exploration. The first period from 1969

to 1977 commenced with reconnaissance mapping by Shell Internationale and Magellan Petroleum in 1969 and 1970, which provided the first assessments of source rocks and reservoirs. Following this, the first exploration licence, covering Bligh Water Basin (Figure 1), was awarded to a partnership with Southern Pacific Petroleum as operator in 1969. A total of 1590km of regional seismic data was acquired from which sediment thicknesses and general basin depocentres were established.

In 1971 three licences were awarded to Offshore Oil Exploration, Atlantic and Oceanic Resources, and Investment Corporation of Fiji; and a fourth to International Petroleum in 1972. These licences covered the western Yasawa Platform, central Lau Ridge, Bau Waters Basin and Baravi Basin respectively (Figure 1). A total of 1585km of seismic data was acquired in these concessions from 1971 to 1975. A further 4433km of regional speculative seismic data were acquired by Amoco and Western Geophysical in 1972 and 1973.

From these surveys the Bau Waters Basin and western Bligh Water Basin were outlined and general sediment thicknesses determined on the Lau Ridge. By 1977 all licences granted during the first period of exploration had expired.

Encouraged by high world oil prices, the second period of exploration took place from 1977 to 1987. In 1977, Dakota Exploration was awarded a concession in the Bau Waters Basin and western Koro Sea. Over 1400km of seismic data were acquired offshore which permitted more detailed structural interpretation.

In 1978 three exploration licences were awarded to Pacific Energy and Minerals covering Bligh Water Basin, the Yasawa Platform and Great Sea Reefs Platform. Following farm-out agreements with, or options taken by, Bennet Petroleum, Chevron and Mapco, 6050km of seismic data were acquired which formed the basis of more detailed structural interpretations. Geochemical investigations of sea-bottom sediments in Bligh Water showed one pentane anomaly indicating thermogenic, migrated hydrocarbons.

Exploration drilling followed with Chevron's wells Bligh Water- 1 and Great Sea Reefs-1 in 1980 (Figure 1). During 1981 and 1982 Bennet Petroleum drilled four wells: Buabua-1 and Buabua-2 located on an island in western Bligh Water Basin, followed by Maumi-1 and Cakau Saqata-1 in Bau Waters Basin. Finally, Worldwide Energy as operator of the Pacific Energy and Minerals group drilled well Yakuilau Island-1 in 1982 in western Bligh Water Basin.

All seven wells were drilled to test Tertiary reefal limestone objectives but, none reached its target and a re-evaluation of seismic data shows that six of the wells did not drill valid structural traps. Consequently, Tertiary reefal limestones are still an untested play with considerable potential. On a more positive note, drilling has shown the presence of source rocks and shows of oil and gas in the offshore basins of Fiji (Figure 2; see Petroleum Geology).

By 1987 the second group of licences had expired. In the same year the Fiji Government's Mineral Resources Department completed a major source rock sampling programme. Two earlier evaluations by SOPAC (refs 1 & 2) have been superseded by a major new evaluation (Ref. 3), the results of which are

summarised here. Further details of Fiji's exploration history are given in an earlier publication (Ref. 4).

At present (April 1993) there are no exploration concessions held in Fiji.

GEOLOGY

Regional Tectonic Setting

Fiji is situated at the boundary of the Indo-Australian Plate and the Pacific Plate. It is the complex interaction of these plates that provides the framework for understanding the geology and petroleum potential of Fiji.

The most recent plate tectonic reconstructions (Refs 5 and 6) show that from the early Eocene to Late Miocene, Fiji formed part of the continuous Outer Melanesian Arc which extended from Papua New Guinea through the Solomon Islands, Vanuatu, Fiji and Tonga/Lau, to New Zealand (Figure 3). This was a migratory arc system (Ref. 7) that moved eastwards as the Pacific Plate was subducted beneath it. Several back-arc basins developed, including the South Fiji Basin, which separated the Outer Melanesian Arc from the rifted continental block of the Norfolk Ridge.

In the Late Miocene, the oceanic Ontong-Java Plateau collided with the Solomon Islands section of the Outer Melanesian Arc (Figure 3). Several major events followed that resulted in the break-up of this arc. Firstly, the direction of subduction beneath the Solomon Islands and Vanuatu arcs was reversed. Subduction of the Pacific Plate ceased, to be replaced by eastward subduction of the back-arc basins beneath the Solomons and Vanuatu Arcs. This was followed by rapid opening of the North Fiji Basin. The Hunter Fracture Zone acted both as a transform and as an oblique subduction zone to accommodate the break-up of the Outer Melanesian Arc.

Finally, subduction of the Pacific Plate beneath the Tonga Ridge from the Pliocene onwards has resulted in the opening of the Lau Basin which now separates the Lau and Tonga Ridges.

Geology of Fiji

Fiji's geological history can be divided into three distinct periods of island arc development: Eocene, Late Oligocene-Early Miocene and Late Miocene-present day (Figure 4).

In the **Early Eocene** Fiji probably originated as part of the Outer Melanesian Arc (Figure 3). However, the oldest rocks actually exposed on Fiji are of Late Eocene age (Figure 4). These form the Yavuna Group consisting of island-arc volcanics which were uplifted to permit the deposition of shallow-water, platform limestones. The subsequent initiation of the South Fiji Basin in the Early Oligocene coincides with a stratigraphic break.

This hiatus was followed by the second phase of arc development represented by the **Late Oligocene to Middle Miocene** Wainimala Group (Figure 4). This forms the southern half of Viti Levu and is exposed

in islands of the Yasawa Platform. Age equivalents of the Wainimala Group have also been encountered in the offshore basins by wells Bligh Water-1, Great Sea Reefs-1, Maumi-1 and Cakau Saqata-1 (Figure 2).

During this period the axis of the Outer Melanesian Arc passed through southern Viti Levu. A fore-arc basin developed to the north of the volcanic arc axis. Coral-algal reefs formed on the edge of a shallow-water platform north of the arc axis, across the width of Viti Levu (Figure 5). The best exposed example is the Qalimare Limestone which comprises mounds at least 300m thick (Figure 6). The occurrence of massive fore-reef limestones on the northern Yasawa Platform at Sawa-i-Lau suggests that reef development associated with structural highs may have been widespread in the offshore fore-arc basin. A deep-water basin developed to the north of the platform. Low-grade regional metamorphism is restricted to areas adjacent to the volcanic axis in the south. The present day shallow-water offshore basins, Bligh Water Basin and Bau Waters Basin, are superimposed on the larger Late Oligocene to Middle Miocene fore-arc basin. Seismic correlation across Bligh Water Basin suggests that it contains over 1300m of Late Oligocene to Early Miocene strata (Figure 5), whilst Bau Waters Basin contains at least 750m. Both basins contain several structural highs which were extant in the Late Oligocene-Middle Miocene fore-arc basin and may thus have provided centres for reef growth.

Seismic data suggest that the deep-water Suva Basin (Figure 7) probably contains up to 1500m of the Late Oligocene-Middle Miocene volcanoclastics. Situated to the south of the arc axis, this is a back-arc basin.

During the Middle to Late Miocene, a major hiatus in Fiji coincides with the intrusion of the basic to intermediate Colo Plutonic Suite and uplift of the arc axis (Figure 4). Ensuing erosion of the arc produced breccias and conglomerates (Tuva Group) which were dumped in the fore-arc basin to the north to form submarine fans. Other large structural highs were active in the Bligh Water and Bau Waters Basins resulting in deposition of up to 1500m of Late Miocene sediment in restricted half-grabens. The large, densely vegetated land areas would have provided an abundant source of plant material.

The third period of arc development, from the **Late Miocene to the present day**, coincides with the break-up of the Outer Melanesian Arc and the opening of the North Fiji Basin. During this time Fiji remained in a back-arc setting. Initial rifting of the arc was accompanied by folding and faulting, often induced by wrench tectonics: the **Colo Orogeny**.

This deformed the older Wainimala and Tuva Groups and Colo plutonics. Calc-alkaline volcanic activity associated with break-up of the arc occurred in Vanua Levu and northern Viti Levu.

Subsequently, deposition occurred in several basins situated to the north and south of the uplifted Late Oligocene-Early Miocene arc (the Medrausucu, Nadi and Rewa Basins); and between the new volcanic centres (e.g. on Vanua Levu). Coral-algal reefs developed during the Late Miocene and Early Pliocene around the margins of, and on structural highs within, the larger basins, e.g. Lami and Tuvu reefal limestones (Figure 4). Volcanoclastics were deposited in the basin centres.

From the Late Miocene onwards, Bligh Water Basin evolved, bounded to the north and west by the

deformed structural highs of the Great Sea Reef Platform and the Yasawa Platform respectively, and to the south by the new Pliocene volcanic centres (Figure 1). The basin probably contains a maximum thickness of 2800m of Late Miocene to Recent sediment which overlies older, Late Oligocene to Middle Miocene strata (Figure 7). Numerous structural highs have been activated during and since the Colo Orogeny. Seismic data indicate that these highs, together with other volcanic highs, favored reef growth in both the Late Miocene and the Early Pliocene.

Bad Waters Basin represents the offshore extension of the Rewa Basin (Figure 1). It contains up to 2800m of Late Miocene to Recent sediment which overlies deformed Late Oligocene to Early Miocene strata. Several fault-bounded structural highs have also given rise to reef growth.

The deep-water offshore Baravi and Suva Basins contain maximum sediment thicknesses of 2700 and 1500m respectively. The sequence is probably of Late Pliocene to Pleistocene age (Figure 7; Ref. 8). These basins developed in a back-arc setting in response to subduction of the South Fiji Basin along the Hunter Fracture Zone during the Pliocene to Late Pleistocene (Ref. 9).

The shallow-water Lau Ridge situated to the east of Viti Levu originated as part of the Outer Melanesian Arc, probably in the Eocene (Figure 3; Ref. 10), and exhibits a Miocene to Early Pliocene geology that is similar to Viti Levu. However, major uplift associated with opening of the Lau Basin in the Late Pliocene has resulted in exposure of the Late Miocene to Early Pliocene reef limestones on the Lau islands. Although several narrow grabens have survived uplift, the total sediment thickness is typically less than 500m.

PETROLEUM GEOLOGY

Prospective Basins

The largest prospective offshore basin is Bligh Water Basin covering some 9500km². Shallow water depths combine with sediment thicknesses in excess of 5000m and favourable geology to make this the most prospective basin in Fiji (Figure 7). Water depths are mostly less than 100m in the western half of the basin, whilst most of the eastern half is between 200 and 600m deep (Figure 1).

Bad Waters Basin is the offshore extension of the Rewa Basin. The shallow-water area (less than 500m water depth) is restricted to a nearshore zone some 25km wide with an area of 1600km². Water depth increases dramatically eastwards to over 2000m. Sediment thicknesses reaching over 4000m and promising geology make this the second most prospective basin in Fiji.

Other areas have either excessive water depth or unsuitable geology rendering them unattractive for hydrocarbon exploration. The Baravi and Suva Basins to the south of Viti Levu have water depths in excess of 2000m. The Great Sea Reefs and Yasawa Platforms have insufficient sediment thicknesses to generate hydrocarbons, whilst uplift and erosion of the Lau Ridge has generally exposed the potential Tertiary reef reservoirs at the surface (Ref. 1).

Source Rocks

The potential of arc-related basins to accumulate source rocks and generate oil and gas is conclusively demonstrated by the basins of Southeast Asia. Source rocks containing Type II and Type III kerogen, and with TOC in the range 0.5-2% generate waxy crudes and gas found in oil fields of Sarawak and Sabah, Malaysia, Indonesia and the Philippines. Source rock data from Fiji indicate that similar source rocks are present in the offshore Fiji basins.

Furthermore, oil seeps and source rocks elsewhere in the Southwest Pacific region indicate that carbonate algal source rocks are also present in island-arc basins. Biomarker analysis of oil seeps in neighbouring Tonga indicate these to be derived from a marine carbonate source rock, probably from kerogen Type II (Ref. 11). In Vanuatu, algal-derived carbonate source rocks with Type II kerogen have been sampled, containing 1.4-1.8% TOC (Ref. 12).

In Fiji, hydrocarbon source rocks ranging in age from Oligocene to Pleistocene crop out on Viti Levu and have been encountered in exploration wells (Figures 2 and 4). Defined by having a total organic content (TOC) which exceeds 1%, the source rocks are dominated by kerogen Types II and III and thus may generate oil and gas.

Late Oligocene to Middle Miocene source rocks in the Wainimala Group were encountered by well Bligh Water-1 in Bligh Water Basin (Table 1). TOC is in the range 1-2.2%. During this period, prior to the break-up of the Outer Melanesian fore-arc basin, the vast regional extent of the fore-arc basin (Figure 3) may have favored widespread deposition of individual source rock intervals. Consequently, the source rocks found in well Bligh Water-1 (Figure 2) may be regionally extensive.

Source rocks of Late Miocene to Pliocene age occur in the Medrausucu Group and the Cuvu, Nadi and Verata Sedimentary Groups on Viti Levu, and in Bligh Water Basin and Bau Waters Basin. Average TOC's for these Groups are in the range 1.3-2.7% (maximum is 8.20%) with kerogen Types II and III. Individual coal-rich layers in the Nadi Sedimentary Group have up to 54.4% TOC.

Following the break-up of the Outer Melanesian Arc in the Late Miocene, deposition occurred in a number of small, often fault-bounded basins. Such basins may have been silled, with the resulting anoxic conditions giving rise to excellent potential for the accumulation of source rocks.

Maturity

Oil and gas shows and seeps provide conclusive evidence that hydrocarbons have been generated in Fiji's offshore basins. A large pentane anomaly in sea-bottom sediments occurs in the south of Bligh Water Basin (Figure 8, Ref. 13). Preliminary geochemical analysis indicates that the oil has migrated from mature source rocks (Ref. 14).

Strong gas shows were recorded throughout the Pliocene sections drilled by Bligh Water-1 and Yakuilau Island-1 (Figure 2). In Bad Waters Basin there were strong oil and gas shows in the Pliocene section

drilled by Cakau Saqata-1. A bright spot on seismic line D-23 coincides with a small structural lead (Figure 9).

Maturity modelling of source rocks shows that sediment thicknesses in both Bligh Water and Bad Waters Basins are sufficient to generate oil and gas, and that substantial Pliocene and Miocene kitchens could exist (Figure 10).

Well Bligh Water-1, situated on the flank of a major structural high in Bligh Water Basin, encountered Middle and Late Miocene source rocks which were immature. Seismic correlation and maturity modelling predict that, deeper in basin centres to the north, east and west, these source rock intervals could reach the top of the oil window at 1750m below sea floor, whilst peak oil generation could occur at 2600m (Figure 11). Hydrocarbon generation could be continuing today. Pliocene source rocks are buried deep enough to be in the oil window in depocentres in the south and west of Bligh Water Basin where thicker Pliocene sections are developed (Figure 10).

In Bad Waters Basin, Early Pliocene source rocks in Maumi-1 (Figure 2) situated on the edge of the basin are immature. However, these source rocks could reach the oil window in the deeper, offshore parts of the basin (Figure 12). The top of the oil window is predicted at 1800m below sea floor and maximum oil generation at 2700m. Should Miocene source rocks be present, as in Bligh Water Basin, these would have reached peak oil generation.

Reservoirs

There are two general prospective intervals of reefal limestone yet to be drilled in Fiji: Early to Middle Miocene and Late Miocene to Early Pliocene. Both intervals are well exposed onshore and exhibit numerous leads identified on seismic data in the offshore basins.

Early to Middle Miocene reefal and platform limestone were developed on an arcuate, east-west trending palaeo-shelf edge across Viti Levu, which formed part of the Outer Melanesian fore-arc basin (Figure 13). The coral-algal Qalimare Limestone is the best exposed example (Figure 6). The limestone reefs and platforms coincide with eustatic sea-level highstands at 18 and 16 Ma (Figure 14). High-stands are known to be generally conducive to reef growth and platform development (ref. 15 and 16). It is likely, therefore, that these limestone intervals may be regionally extensive, as is supported by the occurrence of Early to Middle Miocene reefal and platform limestone in the Yasawa islands.

The characteristic mounded geometry of the reefal mounds is easily detected on seismic data, making them the most attractive exploration targets. Platform limestones, which include grainstones and packstones, are also good potential reservoirs.

Reservoir porosity is likely to be good, judging from Pasca and Pandora Fields in offshore Papua New Guinea where average porosities of similar Miocene reef limestone reservoirs are 10 and 27% respectively (Ref. 17). In Fiji, karstification and leaching of the Middle Miocene limestones occurred during uplift in the Middle to Late Miocene (Figure 4) and sea-level lowstands at 16.5 and 15.5 Ma (Figure 14). This may have further enhanced porosity and permeability.

Redeposited turbidite limestones provide another potential reservoir. These may form either fore-reef talus deposits, or be the result of tectonic uplift and sea-level lowstands when the exposed shelf sediments are eroded. Massive fore-reef limestones form the island of Sawa-i-Lau in the Yasawa islands (Figure 15), whilst the Middle Miocene Qaraqara Member provides an excellent example of a lowstand limestone turbidite (Figure 14). It is possible that such deposits may be widespread in Bligh Water Basin.

Late Miocene to Pliocene reefal and platform limestones constitute the second prospective interval (Figures 4 and 13). These shallow-water limestones developed around structural highs formed during the Late Miocene Colo Orogeny. Periods of reefal and platform limestone development coincide with sea-level highstands at 6.8, 5.8, 5 and 4 Ma (Figure 14) and may be widespread in the offshore basins. This is supported by many reefal anomalies identified on seismic data in Bligh Water and Bad Waters Basins (see Plays and Prospective Areas).

Pliocene limestone drilled off-structure in well Maumi-1 had porosities of 20-25%. Most of the reef and platform limestones exposed onshore have unconformities at their tops, marked by leaching and karstification which has further improved their reservoir potential. Deep-water turbidite limestones provide additional reservoirs for this stratigraphic interval.

Seals

Stratigraphic column abounds in potential seals ranging from shales and fine grained volcanics to extrusive volcanic rocks (Figure 4). Most importantly, all the potential reef and limestone-turbidite reservoir objectives are overlain by sealing lithologies. The thick, massive nature of the sealing lithologies means that they may provide effective lateral seals for combination structural-stratigraphic traps, and cross-fault seals for faulted traps.

Plays and Prospective Areas

Several decades of exploration has established Tertiary reefs as one of the major petroleum producing reservoirs in Southeast Asia. Reefs of Miocene and Pliocene age produce oil and gas in the Philippines, Malaysia, Indonesia and in Papua New Guinea. Figures 16 and 17 show that the same Tertiary reef play extends from the producing oil fields of Irian Jaya, Indonesia, through the gas/condensate fields of eastern offshore Papua New Guinea and continues through the island-arc basins of the Southwest Pacific, as attested by outcrops on the islands themselves. Regionally, the Miocene reefs are the most extensive productive for oil and gas, but the Eocene and Pliocene reefs are also important.

Oil seeps in Fiji and Tonga, together with the presence of source rocks on Fiji and through-out the Southwest Pacific, provide conclusive evidence that oil is present and that the arc-related basins are prospective for oil and gas.

The reservoirs, seals and source rocks in Fiji, outlined above give rise to two distinct plays in the offshore basins of Fiji (Figure 18). Neither of the plays has been tested by drilling.

Miocene-Pliocene Reef Play

During periods of tectonic quiescence and/or sea-level highstands, reef growth may have been widespread throughout the offshore basins in favourable shallow-water conditions. Typically, these would have been located on structural highs and near shelf edges. Onlapping and overlying shales and volcanoclastics would have provided effective seals to create traps. During burial, hydrocarbons generated from basinal and/or lagoonal Miocene and Pliocene source rocks deeper in the basin may have migrated into the reefal traps.

There are two main prospective intervals of reef development in Fiji: the Early to Middle Miocene and the Late Miocene to Pliocene (Figure 2). Twenty Late Miocene to Pliocene reefal leads have been identified on the existing seismic data in Bligh Water Basin and two in Bad Waters Basin (Figures 19 and 20).

There is additional scope for this play in the deeper Early to Middle Miocene interval. However, this cannot be resolved at present due to the poor quality of seismic data and lack of seismic coverage in certain areas, e.g. central and eastern Bligh Water Basin.

In southwest Bligh Water Basin, reefal leads with mounded geometries typical of patch reefs occur at the edge of a palaeo shelf (Figures 21 and 22). Elsewhere in Bligh Water Basin, reefal leads are situated on structural highs and show evidence of progradation characteristic of reefal platforms (Figure 23 and 24). Figure 25 shows an example of a similar lead in Bau Waters Basin.

The interpretation of these features as reefs is supported by their location on shelf edges or structural highs. Furthermore, the leads coincide with sea-level highstands at 6.8 and 5Ma (Figure 14). Such highstands are generally known to be conducive to reef growth (Refs 15 and 16).

All of the reefal leads are true structural traps with mapped structural closures. However, additional trap volume may exist due to lateral seals below the structural spill-point (eg. Figure 23), giving rise to combined structural-stratigraphic trapping.

Estimates of potential unrisked recoverable reserves for typical reefal leads are about 270 million barrels of oil (mmbo) per structure (Table 2). If stratigraphic trapping occurs, the larger reefal leads could contain upward of 1 billion barrels recoverable per trap.

Particularly attractive is the fact that the leads are often clustered (Figure 19) such that development and production facilities may eventually be shared by several fields, and thus significant cost economies could be made.

The gross rock volumes are determined from mapped structural closures for structural leads. Lateral seals give rise to much greater vertical closures and trap areas for structural-stratigraphic traps. Potential

unrisked reserves are estimated from likely average reservoir parameters (ranges are shown in parentheses in Table 2).

Miocene-Pliocene Limestone Turbidite Play

During tectonic uplift and/or sea-level lowstands exposure and erosion of the reefal and platform carbonates occurred. The eroded sediment would have been redeposited as deep-water turbidite lobes and mounds at the base of slope to form potential reservoirs (Figure 18). Onlapping and overlying basinal shales and volcanoclastics could provide effective seals. During burial, hydrocarbons generated from Miocene and Pliocene source rocks within the basin may have migrated into the turbidite traps.

Such deep-water limestone turbidites form oil and gas reservoirs in several major petroleum provinces worldwide, including Abu Dhabi and Oman in the Middle East, the UK North Sea and the Philippines. In Fiji there are good examples of deep-water limestone turbidites exposed onshore (see Reservoirs).

Three limestone turbidite leads have been identified in western Bligh Water Basin (Figure 19). They form elongate mounds situated down-slope of a palaeo-shelf edge (Figures 21 and 22). These are thought to be turbidite lobes derived from erosion of reefal mounds situated on the palaeo-shelf edge, an interpretation which is supported by the coincidence of the lobes with a sea-level lowstand at 3.8 Ma (Figure 14). Such lowstands are known to favour deposition of reef derived turbidite lobes (Ref. 15).

The turbidite leads have estimated unrisked recoverable reserves of about 100 mmbo per structure. This could be increased to over 200 mmbo if combined structural-stratigraphic trapping occurs (Table 2). As with the reefal leads, the clustering of structures may result in ultimate cost economies at the development/production stage.

For both the reef play and the turbidite play, key factors of hydrocarbon charge and timing are favourable. In central and eastern Bligh Water Basin, the Late Miocene to Pliocene reef and turbidite leads could have been charged from Oligocene and Miocene source rocks (Figure 10 and Table 1). In western Bligh Water Basin and in Bad Waters Basin, the Pliocene sequence is buried to depths in excess of 2000m, sufficient for Pliocene source rocks to provide oil and gas charge in addition to the Oligocene and Miocene intervals.

The timing factor is favourable, since both the reefal and turbidite traps formed in the Late Miocene to Pliocene and could have been subsequently charged by oil and gas from the late Miocene to present (Figures 11 and 12).

LEGISLATION

This summary of the Petroleum Legislation of Fiji provides an overview of some of the principal features of the legislation and should not be considered a comprehensive account. Full details of the legislation are available through the Fiji Government Printing and Stationery Department (see Follow-up Information).

The petroleum legislation consists of:

- Petroleum (Exploration and Exploitation) Act, 1978
- Petroleum (Exploration and Exploitation) (Forms and Fees) Regulations, 1979
- Notice of Directions

Revisions to the existing legislation and a new Model Petroleum Agreement are being considered by Government.

The existing legislation covers licences in onshore and offshore areas. The Act sets out definitions, financial provisions, keeping of records and accounts, data provision and confidentiality, rights reserved by Government and various obligations of the company.

Ownership and control of petroleum in Fiji are vested in the State. Petroleum exploration and exploitation rights may be granted only by the Government. The basis of all licence agreements is an agreed work program in a specified licence area. The area available for licensing includes the entire Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of Fiji, some 1.3 million km². The main prospective offshore areas have been divided into blocks each of which is 6 minutes of latitude by 6 minutes of longitude, or about 117km² per block (Figure 26). The maximum area permitted for any one licence is 70 blocks or about 8200km², though more than one licence may be held by any one company.

Exploration licences are valid for an initial term of five years after which 50% of the licence area must be relinquished. The company may carry out agreed geological and geophysical surveys, and may drill wells with the consent of the Minister of Lands and Mineral Resources. The licence may then be extended for a further three years after which the remaining licence area must be relinquished.

Following a commercial discovery, a production licence may be granted for a specified number of blocks. Production licences are valid for an initial term of 21 years, with an extension for a further 21-year period. Pipeline licences may be granted for onshore and offshore areas according to agreed technical specifications. These licences are awarded for an initial period of 21 years and may be renewed. All data are held confidential by the government until the licence is relinquished, though summary data of discovery wells may be released after two years.

Government revenue is received from Royalty and Corporation Tax. Royalty is 10 to 12.5% depending on the number of blocks in the production licence. Corporation Tax is typically 37.5% for a Fiji company and 47.5% for a foreign company. In order to encourage development of small fields, proposed revisions to the legislation include a variably royalty, which is determined by the field size.

The fiscal terms are open to negotiation. The Government of Fiji recognises that Fiji is a frontier area and as such is prepared to offer favourable conditions in order to encourage exploration investment.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The same Tertiary reefal carbonates that produce oil and gas in arc-related basins of Southeast Asia are present in Fiji. The reefs form part of a continuous play trend which includes the oil fields of Irian Jaya, Indonesia and the gas/condensate fields of Papua New Guinea. This play has not been tested by drilling in Fiji.
2. The most prospective areas are offshore Bligh Water Basin and Bad Waters Basin, which have shallow water depths (50-500m) and sediment thicknesses in excess of 4km.
3. Source rocks of Oligocene, Miocene and Pliocene age occur on the main island of Viti Levu and have been drilled in the offshore basins. An offshore oil seep, together with oil and gas shows encountered in offshore wells, provide conclusive evidence that hydrocarbon generation has occurred.
4. Over twenty reefal leads have been identified on seismic data in Late Miocene and Pliocene intervals in Bligh Water and Bad Waters Basins. Limestone turbidite mounds occurring downslope of the reefal mounds constitute a secondary prospective play.
5. There is considerable scope for more reefal and turbidite targets in the deeper Oligocene-Middle Miocene section, which cannot be resolved with the existing seismic data, and in areas where existing seismic coverage is sparse.
6. Estimated potential recoverable reserves for the leads, based on structural closures, are typically 270 million barrels of oil per structure. If lateral seals give rise to combined structural-stratigraphic trapping, recoverable reserves could be more than 1 billion barrels of oil per structure.

APPENDICES

The Republic of Fiji

Fiji is a Pacific island nation comprising approximately 300 islands of which a third are inhabited, Fiji's Exclusive Economic Zone, bounded by the 200-mile limit, covers some 1.3 million km².

In 1970 Fiji became a fully independent nation and is now a sovereign democratic republic with a President as Head of State. Fijians and Indians are the major groups in a multi-racial society. The total population is about 725,000 of which 75% live on the main island of Viti Levu and a further 18% on Vanua Levu, the second largest island.

Fiji has a tropical climate with the capital Suva lying at about latitude 18° south. The average temperature is 25°C. The southeast tradewinds prevail from June to October resulting in high rainfall on Fiji's southeast-facing coastlines. Tropical cyclones can occur between November and April.

Tourism and sugar cane production are the mainstays of the economy. The success of tourism, which

accounts for 74% of foreign exchange earnings, is due as much to the warmth and friendliness of the Fijians themselves as to the South Pacific island setting.

Sugar cane is the major cash crop and accounts for about 60% of Fiji's exports. Gold is produced from one mine at Vatukoula on Viti Levu and is the third major earner of foreign exchange. A major new copper prospect at Namosi, on Viti Levu, is currently being evaluated. Other significant industries include garments, fishing and forestry.

The capital, Suva is the main centre for government, commerce, trade and communications. Fiji has two international airports, Nadi on the west coast, is used by all major carriers to Australia, Japan, New Zealand and the USA, as well as to other South Pacific countries and to Fiji's outlying islands. Nausori Airport near Suva services South Pacific countries, including Tonga and New Zealand, and outlying islands.

Sea ports of entry are Suva, Lautoka (Viti Levu) and Levuka (Ovalau). Regular cargo services from Australia, Europe, Japan, New Zealand, Southeast Asia and the USA are provided by a number of lines.

Follow-up Information

All relevant petroleum data are available in the Fiji Petroleum Data Package, including seismic, magnetic and gravity data, well logs, and reports. The Data Packages are stored on behalf of the Government of Fiji at the South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission (SOPAC) Petroleum Data Bank. The data can be supplied at costs of reproduction and mailing. Catalogues of the Data Package listing all data are also available. Requests for the catalogues and orders for data should be directed to:

The SOPAC Data Manager
SOPAC Petroleum Data Bank
Australian Geological Survey Organisation
GPO Box 378
Canberra ACT 2601
AUSTRALIA
Fax: (61)6 2499980
Telex: AA62109
Telephone: (61)6 2499475

Further information and enquiries relating to petroleum exploration and production licensing may be obtained from:

The Director
Mineral Resources Department
Private Mail Bag
General Post Office
Suva
FIJI
Fax: (679) 370039

Telex: 2330 SOPACPRO FJ
Telephone: (679) 381611

Copies of all Fiji's petroleum data together with relevant regional geological information are available for viewing at the Technical Secretariat of SOPAC. For further information and appointments, contact:

The Director
SOPAC Secretariat
Private Mail Bag
General Post Office
Suva
FIJI

Fax: (679) 370040
Telex: 2330 SOPACPRO FJ
Telephone: (679) 381377

Copies of Fiji's Petroleum Act may be obtained from:

Government Printing & Stationery Department
Box 98
General Post Office
Suva
FIJI

Fax: (679) 370203
Telephone: (679) 385999

References

1. Pflueger, J. 1989. Hydrocarbon potential of the Lau Ridge: Reid Reef to Ogea Levu Island. SOPAC Technical Report 163.
2. Johnson, H. 1991, Petroleum geology of Fiji. *Marine Geology* 98: 313-352.
3. Rodd J.A. 1993. The hydrocarbon potential of Fiji. SOPAC Technical Report 169.
4. Eden, R.A. and Smith, R. 1984. Fiji as a petroleum prospect. Fiji Mineral Resources Department, Suva, Fiji.
5. Falvey, D.A., Collwell, J.B., Coleman, P.J., Greene, H.G. Vedder, J.G. and Bruns, T.R. 1991. Petroleum

- prospectivity of Pacific island arcs:
Solomon Islands and Vanuatu.
Australian Petroleum Exploration
Association Journal 1991: 191-212.
6. Rodda, P. (in press). Geology of Fiji, in
Stevenson, A.J., Herzer, R.H. and
Ballance, P.F. (eds) Contributions to
the marine and on-land geology and
resources of the Tonga-Lau-Fiji
region. SOPAC Technical Bulletin.
 7. Wessel, G.R. 1986. The geology of
plate margins. Geological Society of
America Map and Chart Series MC-59.
 8. Brocher, T.M. and Holmes, R. 1985.
The marine geology of sedimentary
basins south of Viti Levu. In Brocher,
T.M. (ed) Geological investigations of
the Northern Melanesian Borderland.
Circum-Pacific Council for Energy
and Mineral Resources, Earth
Science Series 3: 123-138.
 9. Gill, J.B., Stork, A.L. and Whelan, P.M.
1984. Volcanism accompanying
back-arc basin development in the
Southwest Pacific. Tectonophysics
102:207-224.
 10. Woodhall, D. 1985. Geology of the
Lau Ridge. In Scholl, D.W. and
Vallier, T.L. (eds) Geology and
Offshore Resources of the Pacific
Island Arcs - Tonga Region. Circum-
Pacific Council for Energy and
Mineral Resources, Earth Science
Series 2:351-378.
 11. Summons, R.E., Murray, A.P.,
Juodvalkis, A., Hope, J.M. and
Reyes, E.N. 1992 Hydrocarbon
composition of the Pili oil seep of
Tongatapu. Australian Geological
Survey Analysis and Report, Onshore
Sedimentary and Petroleum Geology
Program.
 12. Glickson, M. 1988. Miocene reef-

- derived deposits in Vanuatu - Possible petroleum source rocks. In Greene, H.G. and Wong, F.L. (eds). Geology and Offshore Resources of Pacific Island Arcs - Vanuatu Region. Circum-Pacific Council for Energy and Mineral Resources, Earth Sciences Series 8: 267-274.
13. Horvitz Research Laboratories Inc. 1979. Hydrocarbon survey block "A" offshore Fiji. Horvitz Research Laboratory Inc. (unpublished report, Mineral Resources Department, Fiji, 790140).
14. Stahl, W. 1979. Carbon isotope study on ten seafloor samples from Bligh Water, (unpublished report, Mineral Resources Department, Fiji, Hydrocarbons Archive file no. 790143).
15. Sarg, J.F. 1988. Carbonate sequence stratigraphy. In Wilgus, C.K. et al. (eds) Sea-Level Changes: an Integrated Approach. Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Special Publication 42: 155-182.
16. Schlager, W. 1992. Sedimentology and sequence stratigraphy of reefs and carbonate platforms. AAPG Continuing Education Course Note 34.
17. Durkee, E.F. 1990. Pasca-Pandora reef exploration in the Gulf of Papua. In Carman, G.J. and Carman, Z. (eds) Petroleum Exploration in Papua New Guinea. Proceedings of the First PNG Petroleum Convention, Port Moresby: 567-579.

Acknowledgements

The author completed this work whilst engaged as Petroleum Coordinator at the South Pacific Applied

Geoscience Commission (SOPAC), based in Fiji, and funded by the Commonwealth Fund for Technical Co-operation. Cristelle Pratt (Fiji Government's Mineral Resources Department, MRD) assisted in researching Fiji's exploration history and in the compilation of source rock data. Luna Wong (MRD) provided the seismic line location map. The photograph of Sawa-i-Lau island was supplied by Werner Schneider of the Institute for Geology and Paleontology, University of Technischen, Germany. All figures were drafted by Phil Woodward and Niko Naibitakele (SOPAC). Comments on the original draft by colleagues at MRD and SOPAC are much appreciated. Publication by SOPAC was funded principally by the Australian International Development Assistance Bureau with additional support from the New Zealand Government and the EC.